



Final mark awarded: _____

**Faculty of Computing, Engineering
and Science**

Assessment Cover Sheet and Feedback Form 2017-18

Module Code: CS4S765	Module Title: Game Engine Optimisation	Module Lecturer: Gaius Mulley
Assessment Title: Extend the functionality or realism of a physics engine (PGE).		Assessment No. 1
No. of pages submitted in total including this page: Completed by student		Word Count of submission (if applicable): Completed by student
Date Set: 08-Jan-2018 23:00:00	Submission Date: 16-Feb-2018 23:55:00	Return Date: 16-Mar-2018 23:55:00

Part A: Record of Submission (to be completed by Student)

Extenuating Circumstances

If there are any exceptional circumstances that may have affected your ability to undertake or submit this assignment, make sure you contact the Advice Centre on your campus prior to your submission deadline.

Fit to sit policy:

The University operates a fit to sit policy whereby you, in submitting or presenting yourself for an assessment, are declaring that you are fit to sit the assessment. You cannot subsequently claim that your performance in this assessment was affected by extenuating factors.

Plagiarism and Unfair Practice Declaration:

By submitting this assessment, you declare that it is your own work and that the sources of information and material you have used (including the internet) have been fully identified and properly acknowledged as required¹. Additionally, the work presented has not been submitted for any other assessment. You also understand that the Faculty reserves the right to investigate allegations of plagiarism or unfair practice which, if proven, could result in a fail in this assessment and may affect your progress.

Intellectual Property and Retention of Student Work:

You understand that the University will retain a copy of any assessments submitted electronically for evidence and quality assurance purposes; requests for the removal of assessments will only be considered if the work contains information that is either politically and/or commercially sensitive (as determined by the University) and where requests are made by the relevant module leader or dissertation supervisor.

Details of Submission:

Note that all work handed in after the submission date and within 5 working days will be capped at 40%². No marks will be awarded if the assessment is submitted after the late submission date unless extenuating circumstances are applied for and accepted (Advice Centre to be consulted).

You are required to acknowledge that you have read the above statements by writing your student number(s) in the box:	Student Number(s):
---	--------------------

¹University Academic Misconduct Regulations

²Information on exclusions to this rule is available from the Advice Centre at each Campus

IT IS YOUR RESPONSIBILITY TO KEEP RECORDS OF ALL WORK SUBMITTED

<p style="text-align: center;">Part B: Marking and Assessment (to be completed by Module Lecturer)</p>
<p>This assignment will be marked out of 100%</p>
<p>This assignment contributes to 50% of the total module marks.</p>
<p>This assignment is bonded</p>
<p>Learning Outcomes to be assessed (as specified in the validated module descriptor https://icis.southwales.ac.uk/):</p> <p><i>1) Demonstrate the ability to analyse and critically evaluate techniques used to optimise game engines 2) Demonstrate the ability to analyse, create and evaluate game engine code</i></p>

Feedback/feed-forward (linked to assessment criteria):

- Areas where you have done well:

- Feedback from this assessment to help you to improve future assessments:

- Other comments

Mark:

Marker's Signature:

Date:

- **Work on this module has been marked, double marked/moderated in line with USW procedures.**

Provisional mark only: subject to change and/or confirmation by the Assessment Board

**Part C: Reflections on Assessment
(to be completed by student – optional)**

Use of previous feedback:

In this assessment, I have taken/took note of the following points in feedback on previous work:

Please indicate which of the following you feel/felt applies/applied to your submitted work

- A reasonable attempt. I could have developed some of the sections further.
- A good attempt, displaying my understanding and learning, with analysis in some parts.
- A very good attempt. The work demonstrates my clear understanding of the learning supported by relevant literature and scholarly work with good analysis and evaluation.
- An excellent attempt, with clear application of literature and scholarly work, demonstrating significant analysis and evaluation.

What I found most difficult about this assessment:

The areas where I would value/would have valued feedback:

The aim of this coursework is fourfold:

- (i) implement object interpenetration optimisation within PGE.
- (ii) implement a bungee spring object within PGE.
- (iii) implement a tiny 2D game using at least one bungee object.
- (iv) provide an analysis of the effects of your optimisation made in (i).

Your changes to the engine should be mapped onto the Python API in PGE to allow for ease of use and testing.

For each improvement you make you should generate simple Python test cases to demonstrate your code is working.

Your report must consist of a program listing, a line by commentary of any changes made and appropriate screen shots.

The word count is 2000 words which does not include any code.

	Fail	Narrow Fail	3rd Class / Pass	Lower 2nd Class / Pass	Upper 2nd Class / Merit	1st Class / Distinction
Implement interpenetrating optimisation within PGE 30%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Very poor Implement interpenetrating optimisation within PGE 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poor Implement interpenetrating optimisation within PGE 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Satisfactory Implement interpenetrating optimisation within PGE. A single feature was changed. Some obvious code weaknesses exist, but the overall direction was sensible 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Good Implement interpenetrating optimisation within PGE. Sensible changes attempted, code contains some errors but is along the correct path 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Very good Implement interpenetrating optimisation within PGE. Interesting and effective changes made 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Excellent Implement interpenetrating optimisation within PGE. Code contains independent ideas and is well crafted
Implement the bungee spring object within PGE 20%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Very poor Implement the bungee spring object within PGE 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poor Implement the bungee spring object within PGE 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Satisfactory Implement the bungee spring object within PGE 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Good Implement the bungee spring object within PGE 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Very good Implement the bungee spring object within PGE. Using material presented in lectures sensibly 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Excellent Implement the bungee spring object within PGE. Drawing from lectures and possibly other sources
Implement a tiny 2D game using bungee objects 30%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Very poor Implement a tiny 2D game using bungee objects 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poor Implement a tiny 2D game using bungee objects 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Satisfactory Implement a tiny 2D game using bungee objects. Basic game implemented using springs and not bungee objects 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Good Implement a tiny 2D game using bungee objects. Basic game implemented using bungee objects rather than springs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Very good Implement a tiny 2D game using bungee objects. An interesting game using bungee objects 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Excellent Implement a tiny 2D game using bungee objects. An excellent implementation utilising well the game engine changes made
Analysis of the effects your optimisation made in PGE 20%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Very poor Analysis of the effects your optimisation made in PGE 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poor Analysis of the effects your optimisation made in PGE 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Satisfactory Analysis of the effects your optimisation made in PGE. The analysis addresses some of the areas with errors and omissions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Good Analysis of the effects your optimisation made in PGE. The analysis addresses the majority of areas with a few errors or omissions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Very good Analysis of the effects your optimisation made in PGE. The analysis addresses the majority of areas with no major errors or omissions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Excellent Analysis of the effects your optimisation made in PGE. The analysis contains a high amount of independent thought and also all the major areas are covered without errors